Many people will undoubtedly find the title of this article interesting. I know that I most certainly would, if I were not its author. I will be candid with you and tell you up front that I cannot provide a definitive answer to my own questions. However, I would like to offer a possible answer, which some of you will no doubt find both intriguing, and perhaps controversial.

Undoubtedly, some readers will be prompted to automatically respond to the title of this article with something such as "Well, yes, of course He did! After all, Jesus is the Son of God, and He knows everything!". However, in light of certain
Scriptural evidence that I have been pondering as of late, I am no longer certain that this is the correct answer to this intriguing question. Allow me to briefly explain to you how I arrived at this conclusion. Maybe, even to your own surprise, you will end up agreeing with me, or perhaps you won't.

Like many Bible scholars and students, for a number of years now, I have pondered and written about the actions, fate and future of Judas Iscariot, the money-hungry Jew who betrayed Jesus for thirty pieces of silver, as we see by the following verses that are found in the Gospel of Matthew:

"Then one of the twelve, called Judas Iscariot, went unto the chief priests, And said unto them, What will ye give me, and I will deliver him unto you? And they covenanted with him for thirty pieces of silver. And from that time he sought opportunity to betray him."
Matthew 26:14-16, KJV

"Then Judas, which had betrayed him, when he saw that he was condemned, repented himself, and brought again the thirty pieces of silver to the chief priests and elders, Saying, I have sinned in that I have betrayed the innocent blood. And they said, What is that to us? see thou to that. And he cast down the pieces of silver in the temple, and departed, and went and hanged himself. And the chief priests took the silver pieces, and said, It is not lawful for to put them into the treasury, because it is the price of blood. And they took counsel, and bought with them the potter's field, to bury strangers in. Wherefore that field was called, The field of blood, unto this day. Then was fulfilled that which was spoken by Jeremy the prophet, saying, And they took the thirty pieces of silver, the price of him that was valued, whom they of the children of Israel did value; And gave them for the potter's field, as the Lord appointed me."
Matthew 27:3-10, KJV

To be honest, for years now, I have been perplexed by the Apostle Matthew's reference to "Jeremy the prophet". To my knowledge -- and I have searched a number of times -- there is no mention of Judas' betrayal of Christ for thirty pieces of silver to be found anywhere in the Old Testament Book of the Prophet Jeremiah. On the other hand, in the Book of the Old Testament Prophet Zechariah, we do find the following reference to Jesus' betrayal by Judas Iscariot for thirty
pieces of silver, and the fact that the blood money was later used to purchase the Potter's Field, where Judas is believed to have been buried:

"And I said unto them, If ye think good, give me my price; and if not, forbear. So they weighed for my price thirty pieces of silver. And the LORD said unto me, Cast it unto the potter: a goodly price that I was prised at of them. And I took the thirty pieces of silver, and cast them to the potter in the house of the LORD."
Zechariah 11:12-13, KJV

So the question is this: Why does Matthew state that the prophecy is found in the Book of Jeremiah, while it is in fact found in the Book of Zechariah? Was this simply an oversight on the part of Matthew? Or is this possibly an indication that we are no longer in possession of the full Book of Jeremiah? And lastly, could it simply be the result of a copy error that occurred somewhere over the many centuries when a certain scribe wasn't particularly careful?

While researching this difficult matter further, I found the following interesting information in an electronic version of Adam Clarke's 1832 "Commentary on the Bible". Adam Clark was a British Methodist theologian and Biblical scholar, who strongly supported the teachings of Methodist founder, John Wesley. Please note that in the following excerpts, where you see what appears to be gibberish, there are actually Greek letters, but my text editor is unable to reproduce the characters here. Also, the initials "MS." represent the word "manuscript", while "MSS." is the plural form "manuscripts":

----- Begin Quote -----

Verse 9. Jeremy the prophet] The words quoted here are not found in the Prophet Jeremiah, but in Zec 11:13. But St. Jerome says that a Hebrew of the sect of the Nazarenes showed him this prophecy in a Hebrew apocryphal copy of Jeremiah; but probably they were inserted there only to countenance the quotation here.

One of Colbert's, a MS. of the eleventh century, has zaxariou, Zechariah; so has the later Syriac in the margin, and a copy of the Arabic quoted by Bengel. In a very elegant and correct MS. of the Vulgate, in my possession, written in
the fourteenth century, Zachariam is in the margin, and Jeremiam in the text, but the former is written by a later hand. Jeremiah is wanting in two MSS., the Syriac, later Persic, two of the Itala, and in some other Latin copies. It is very likely that the original reading was dia toi profhtou, and the name of no prophet mentioned. This is the more likely, as Matthew often omits the name of the prophet in his quotations. See Mt 1:22; 2:5, 15; 13:35; 21:4. Bengel approves of the omission.

It was an ancient custom among the Jews, says Dr. Lightfoot, to divide the Old Testament into three parts: the first beginning with the law was called THE LAW; the second beginning with the Psalms was called THE PSALMS; the third beginning with the prophet in question was called JEREMIAH: thus, then, the writings of Zechariah and the other prophets being included in that division that began with Jeremiah, all quotations from it would go under the name of this prophet. If this be admitted, it solves the difficulty at once. Dr. Lightfoot quotes Baba Bathra, and Rabbi David Kimchi's preface to the prophet Jeremiah, as his authorities; and insists that the word Jeremiah is perfectly correct as standing at the head of that division from which the evangelist quoted, and which gave its denomination to all the rest. But Jeremiah is the reading in several MSS. of the Coptic. It is in one of the Coptic Dictionaries in the British Museum, and in a Coptic MS. of Jeremiah, in the library of St. Germain. So I am informed by the Rev. Henry Tattam, Rector of St Cuthbert's, Bedford.

----- End Quote -----
Jeremy. The words here quoted are not found in Jeremiah, but in Zechariah; and a variety of conjectures have been formed, in order to reconcile this discrepancy. The most probable opinion seems to be, that the name of the prophet was originally omitted by the Evangelist, and that the name of Jeremiah was added by some subsequent copyist. It is omitted in two MSS. of the twelfth century, in the Syriac, later Persic, two of the Itala, and in some other Latin copies; and what renders it highly probable that the original reading was dia [G1223] tou [G5120] profhtou [G4396], by the prophet, is, that Matthew frequently omits the name of the prophet in his quotations. See ch. 1:22 2:5,15 13:35 21:4. This omission is approved of by Bengel, Dr. A. Clarke, and Horne. And they.
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So, as you can see, there are a diversity of opinions which attempt to explain why the Gospel of Matthew says "Jeremy" instead of Zechariah. Perhaps one of these days we will gain a more complete understanding regarding which one is correct.

As you may likewise recall, in the first chapter of the Book of Acts, the Apostle Peter also mentions Jesus' betrayal by Judas Iscariot -- and the fact that Judas hung himself in Aceldama, or the field of blood -- as the Disciples are about to take a vote in order to determine who should replace Judas as the twelfth Apostle. Consider the following verses:

"Men and brethren, this scripture must needs have been fulfilled, which the Holy Ghost by the mouth of David spake before concerning Judas, which was guide to them that took Jesus. For he was numbered with us, and had obtained part of this ministry. Now this man purchased a field with the reward of iniquity; and falling headlong, he burst asunder in the midst, and all his bowels gushed out. And it was known unto all the dwellers at Jerusalem; insomuch as that field is called in their proper tongue, Aceldama, that is to say, The field of blood. For it is written in the book of Psalms, Let his habitation be desolate, and let no man dwell therein: and his bishoprick let another take."
Acts 1:16-20, KJV
In this case, Peter hits the mark right on, because in Psalm 109, which is clearly marked in verse one as a Psalm that was written by King David, we find a prophecy which not only reveals Judas' awful fate, but which in fact curses Judas' entire family. Consider the following example verses which seem to be describing how by God's Design, a Satan-possessed Judas was placed at Jesus' right hand, in order to fulfill that which he was preordained to do:

"Set thou a wicked man over him: and let Satan stand at his right hand. When he shall be judged, let him be condemned: and let his prayer become sin. Let his days be few; and let another take his office. Let his children be fatherless, and his wife a widow. Let his children be continually vagabonds, and beg: let them seek their bread also out of their desolate places. Let the extortioner catch all that he hath; and let the strangers spoil his labour. Let there be none to extend mercy unto him: neither let there be any to favour his fatherless children. Let his posterity be cut off; and in the generation following let their name be blotted out."

Psalms 109:6-13, KJV

Now consider some of the things which Jesus said concerning Judas Iscariot, which are clearly a fulfillment of the above verses:

"Jesus answered them, Have not I chosen you twelve, and one of you is a devil? He spake of Judas Iscariot the son of Simon: for he it was that should betray him, being one of the twelve."

John 6:70-71, KJV

"And as they sat and did eat, Jesus said, Verily I say unto you, One of you which eateth with me shall betray me. And they began to be sorrowful, and to say unto him one by one, Is it I? and another said, Is it I? And he answered and said unto them, It is one of the twelve, that dippeth with me in the dish. The Son of man indeed goeth, as it is written of him: but woe to that man by whom the Son of man is betrayed! good were it for that man if he had never been born."

Mark 14:18-21, KJV

"But, behold, the hand of him that betrayeth me is with me on the table. And truly the Son of man goeth, as it was
determined: but woe unto that man by whom he is betrayed!"

"Jesus saith to him, He that is washed needeth not save to
wash his feet, but is clean every whit: and ye are clean, but
not all. For he knew who should betray him; therefore said
he, Ye are not all clean."
John 13:10-11, KJV

A little earlier in the twenty-second chapter of the Gospel
of Luke, we also find the following verses which deal with
Judas' betrayal, and his possession by Satan:

"Then entered Satan into Judas surnamed Iscariot, being of
the number of the twelve. And he went his way, and communed
with the chief priests and captains, how he might betray him
unto them. And they were glad, and covenanted to give him
money. And he promised, and sought opportunity to betray him
unto them in the absence of the multitude."
Luke 22:3-6, KJV

Now, it is at this point that our discussion is going to get
interesting, and perhaps challenging for some of you. We can
all plainly read in the New Testament what Jesus had to say
regarding Judas Iscariot. However, that is not all that He
had to say concerning Judas. In this next quote, the Lord is
only referencing Judas in an indirect manner, by obviously
including him amongst The Twelve. Consider the following:

"And Jesus said unto them, Verily I say unto you, That ye
which have followed me, in the regeneration when the Son of
man shall sit in the throne of his glory, ye also shall sit
upon twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel."
Matthew 19:28, KJV

To add to our dilemma, in the very next chapter of Matthew,
and only nineteen verses after stating that The Twelve will
sit upon Twelve Thrones judging the Twelve Tribes of Israel,
as they are making their way to Jerusalem, we find the Lord
saying the following to The Twelve:

"And Jesus going up to Jerusalem took the twelve disciples
apart in the way, and said unto them, Behold, we go up to
Jerusalem; and the Son of man shall be betrayed unto the
chief priests and unto the scribes, and they shall condemn
him to death,"
Matthew 20:17-18, KJV

During the Last Supper scene that takes place in Luke chapter twenty-two, and only seven verses after telling His Disciples that His betrayer is sitting at the table with them, Jesus again says:

"And I appoint unto you a kingdom, as my Father hath appointed unto me; That ye may eat and drink at my table in my kingdom, and sit on thrones judging the twelve tribes of Israel."

There should be no doubt that in Matthew 19:28, Jesus' words are directed to all twelve of His Apostles, because He tells them that they'll sit upon Twelve Thrones, judging the Twelve Tribes of Israel. Twelve means exactly that, twelve, and not minus one, meaning Judas Iscariot. The verses from the Gospel of Luke are a bit more ambiguous, because while they mention judging the Twelve Tribes of Israel, you'll notice that there is no specific mention of Twelve Thrones. The verse only says "thrones". Perhaps this is simply because Luke left out this small detail for some reason; or perhaps it is because Jesus actually didn't include the word "twelve" in Luke, because He knew that Judas would suffer a very different fate.

In light of the previous verses where Jesus clearly states that Judas has a devil, and that it were better for him if he had never been born, how do we reconcile the verses in the Gospel of Matthew where Jesus states that The Twelve will sit upon Twelve Thrones judging the Twelve Tribes of Israel? This is a diametrically opposed point of view insofar as Judas is concerned, isn't it?

Clearly, Jesus is demonstrating that He is aware of the fact that someone is going to betray Him. So the question that we need to ask ourselves is this: At the time that He made the statement regarding the Twelve Apostles sitting upon Twelve Thrones, did He already know that Judas Iscariot would be the one to betray Him? If Jesus was already aware of Judas' coming treachery, would He have made the "Twelve Thrones" comment? So the gist of it is this: Is it remotely possible that even though He is the Son of God, He didn't yet possess this vital piece of information, because His Father had not
yet revealed it to Him?

Before you start criticizing me, or accusing me of being a heretic, allow me to point you to a verse which demonstrates that while He was on Earth, apparently, the Lord didn't know everything ahead of time. Many of you are probably familiar with this verse, because if you are like me, you have read Matthew chapter twenty-four many times. In the chapter, while discussing His Return and the end of the age, Jesus states the following:

"But of that day and hour knoweth no man, no, not the angels of heaven, but my Father only."
Matthew 24:36, KJV

It seems to me that by saying "but my Father only", Jesus was inferring that at the time that He made that statement, even He did not yet know the date that His Father has set for His Return to the Earth. If you still doubt that this is what He meant, then consider the following verse that is found in the Gospel of Mark, chapter thirteen. This chapter is actually a parallel chapter to Matthew chapter twenty-four, and Jesus is speaking of the very same events. That is, the destruction of Jerusalem and the Temple, His Return, etc.:

"But of that day and that hour knoweth no man, no, not the angels which are in heaven, neither the Son, but the Father."
Mark 13:32, KJV

The previous verse clearly confirms my understanding of what Jesus was saying in Matthew 24:36. That is, that at the time that He made that statement, even He was unaware of the date that His Father had set for His Return. There is no other way to interpret those two verses, unless we purposely distort the meaning of the Greek word "oude", which is translated as "neither" sixty-nine times in the New Testament.

So again, we find clear Scriptural proof, that even though He is the Son of God, Jesus was not aware of everything at every moment during His Earthly Mission. For reasons which are only known to the Father, He chose not to reveal certain things to Jesus. It is possible then that the identity of His betrayer may have been one of such things which was not known to the Lord until some time later during His Ministry.
If there is one thing that we can learn from a close reading of the Gospels, it is that by means of private prayer time, Jesus was in constant communication with, and receiving His instructions from, His Father. Jesus was taking His lead from His Father, as seems to be hinted at in verses such as the following:

"And in the morning, rising up a great while before day, he went out, and departed into a solitary place, and there prayed.

Mark 1:35, KJV

"Then answered Jesus and said unto them, Verily, verily, I say unto you, The Son can do nothing of himself, but what he seeth the Father do: for what things soever he doeth, these also doeth the Son likewise. For the Father loveth the Son, and sheweth him all things that himself doeth: and he will shew him greater works than these, that ye may marvel . . . I can of mine own self do nothing: as I hear, I judge: and my judgment is just; because I seek not mine own will, but the will of the Father which hath sent me.

John 5:19-20, 30, KJV

Allow me to point out something in the previous verses from the Gospel of John. Please notice that Jesus specifically says "the Father loveth the Son, and sheweth him all things that himself doeth". Now, please try to look at this with an open mind. If, while on the Earth, clothed in human flesh, Jesus still retained His full heavenly memory, and knew all things, why would His Father have to show Him anything? If Jesus was still aware of everything, and had a constant link with His Father, wouldn't He already know what His Father was doing, without His Father having to tell Him or show Him? Is this possibly a small indication then that Jesus' knowledge may have purposely been limited to some degree by the Father while He completed His Mission on Earth?

Is it possible that His Father only revealed parts of His Mission to Jesus at a time, as He needed to know it? Is it possible that being as Jesus had taken on the form of weak human flesh, He wouldn't have been able to bear the burden, emotionally or mentally, if God the Father had revealed the bitter end to Him from the beginning? If Jesus knew from the very beginning that Judas Iscariot would be the cause of the agonizing death He would face in a few short years, would He
have even chosen Judas as one of His Disciples? Let us not forget that in the Garden of Gethsemane, His sweat fell to the ground as if it were drops of blood. Furthermore, Jesus begged His Father to remove the cup from Him, if it were at all possible. We are even told that an Angel had to come in order to strengthen Him. Consider these verses:

"And he was withdrawn from them about a stone’s cast, and kneeled down, and prayed, Saying, Father, if thou be willing, remove this cup from me: nevertheless not my will, but thine, be done. And there appeared an angel unto him from heaven, strengthening him. And being in an agony he prayed more earnestly: and his sweat was as it were great drops of blood falling down to the ground."

That is why even the writer of Hebrews tells us that while He was in human flesh, Jesus suffered the very same temptations and weaknesses as we do, which would seem to suggest that He may have been limited in some ways. That is precisely what makes Jesus our perfect High Priest. He fully understands the things that we have to go through in the flesh. His Father allowed Him to endure everything that we endure in the flesh. Consider this verse:

"For we have not an high priest which cannot be touched with the feeling of our infirmities; but was in all points tempted like as we are, yet without sin."
Hebrews 4:15, KJV

Thankfully, as the Apostle Paul informs us in his Epistle to the Philippians, Jesus was willing to be obedient unto death, for our sakes; and it is for that reason that God the Father has greatly magnified Him and given Him a Name which is above every name, as we see here:

"Let this mind be in you, which was also in Christ Jesus: Who, being in the form of God, thought it not robbery to be equal with God: But made himself of no reputation, and took upon him the form of a servant, and was made in the likeness of men: And being found in fashion as a man, he humbled himself, and became obedient unto death, even the death of the cross. Wherefore God also hath highly exalted him, and given him a name which is above every name: That at the name of Jesus every knee should bow, of things in heaven, and
things in earth, and things under the earth; And that every
tongue should confess that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory
of God the Father."
Philippians 2:5-11, KJV

Please go to part two for the conclusion of this article.
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While I obviously cannot conclusively prove when Jesus knew certain things, or when He became aware of certain events that would transpire in His life, I would like to propose a theory which may possibly shed some light on when Jesus was first made aware that Judas would be His betrayer. In the seventeenth chapter of Matthew, we read our first account of what happened on the Mount of Transfiguration. Consider the
"And after six days Jesus taketh Peter, James, and John his brother, and bringeth them up into an high mountain apart, And was transfigured before them: and his face did shine as the sun, and his raiment was white as the light. And, behold, there appeared unto them Moses and Elias talking with him. Then answered Peter, and said unto Jesus, Lord, it is good for us to be here: if thou wilt, let us make here three tabernacles; one for thee, and one for Moses, and one for Elias. While he yet spake, behold, a bright cloud overshadowed them: and behold a voice out of the cloud, which said, This is my beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased; hear ye him. And when the disciples heard it, they fell on their face, and were sore afraid. And Jesus came and touched them, and said, Arise, and be not afraid. And when they had lifted up their eyes, they saw no man, save Jesus only. And as they came down from the mountain, Jesus charged them, saying, Tell the vision to no man, until the Son of man be risen again from the dead."
Matthew 17:1-9, KJV

Please notice that in the previous verses, while Jesus and Peter, James and John were descending from the mountain, one of the very first things that Jesus mentioned to them was His soon-coming Death. The reason why Jesus may have done this is not clearly revealed in these verses, and we aren't told what Moses and Elijah spoke to Jesus about. However, if we read the account that was written by Luke, we find out exactly what was discussed, as we see here:

"And it came to pass about an eight days after these sayings, he took Peter and John and James, and went up into a mountain to pray. And as he prayed, the fashion of his countenance was altered, and his raiment was white and glistering. And, behold, there talked with him two men, which were Moses and Elias: Who appeared in glory, and spake of his decease which he should accomplish at Jerusalem."

So Jesus' Death was obviously heavy on His mind following the visit by Moses and Elijah. I can't help but wonder then, if perhaps it was at this time that Jesus learned from Moses and Elijah that Judas Iscariot would be His betrayer. Again, I can't prove this point one way or the other, so please take
my words with a grain of salt. However, if there is any truth to this theory, it would certainly help to explain why Jesus would tell the Twelve Apostles that they would sit on Twelve Thrones in the Kingdom of Heaven, but then later, He states that it would have been better if Judas had never been born, and He doesn't state how many thrones during the Last Supper in the Gospel of Luke.

While this theory seems to have some validity on the surface, the truth of the matter, is that even I recognize that it is not bullet-proof. The reason why I say this is quite simple. In spite of everything that Judas Iscariot did to our Lord, we still find the following verse in the Book of Revelation, and it truly perplexes me:

"And the wall of the city had twelve foundations, and in them the names of the twelve apostles of the Lamb."
Revelation 21:14, KJV

The way that some people have managed to get around this problem with Judas' name being written on one of the Twelve Foundations of the Heavenly City, is by suggesting that the Apostle Paul became the new Twelfth Apostle, even though in Acts chapter one, Peter and the brethren chose Matthias, as we see by the following verses:

"And they appointed two, Joseph called Barsabas, who was surnamed Justus, and Matthias. And they prayed, and said, Thou, Lord, which knowest the hearts of all men, shew whether of these two thou hast chosen, That he may take part of this ministry and apostleship, from which Judas by transgression fell, that he might go to his own place. And they gave forth their lots; and the lot fell upon Matthias; and he was numbered with the eleven apostles."
Acts 1:23-26, KJV

Concerning the Apostle Paul, we know from his Epistles that he referred to himself as the Apostle of the Gentiles, and also as the least of the Apostles, as becomes evident by the following verses:

"For I speak to you Gentiles, inasmuch as I am the apostle of the Gentiles, I magnify mine office:"
Romans 11:13, KJV
"Whereunto I am ordained a preacher, and an apostle, (I speak the truth in Christ, and lie not;) a teacher of the Gentiles in faith and verity."
1 Timothy 2:7, KJV

"Whereunto I am appointed a preacher, and an apostle, and a teacher of the Gentiles."
2 Timothy 1:11, KJV

"And last of all he was seen of me also, as of one born out of due time. For I am the least of the apostles, that am not meet to be called an apostle, because I persecuted the church of God. But by the grace of God I am what I am: and his grace which was bestowed upon me was not in vain; but I laboured more abundantly than they all: yet not I, but the grace of God which was with me."
1 Corinthians 15:8-10, KJV

While the previous verses would seem to add legitimacy to the argument that Paul became the new Twelfth Apostle, and that perhaps he is represented by the Twelfth Foundation in the Holy City, New Jerusalem, because the verse in the Book of Revelation does not specify by name who the Twelve are, the only thing that we can go by is what Jesus said in the Gospels. That is that the Twelve Apostles will sit upon Twelve Thrones judging the Twelve Tribes of Israel.

So what does this mean? Does it mean that in spite of all of the evil that he committed, and in spite of the fact that he took his own life, Judas somehow still found Grace in God's sight? If Peter found forgiveness, even after denying Jesus three times, does this possibly imply that Judas also found forgiveness? Is Judas possibly meant to serve as an example to all of humanity of the extent of God's Love, Grace, Mercy and Forgiveness? Quite frankly, I honestly do not know with one hundred per cent certainty. But if we accept that Judas, who was possessed by Satan, could be forgiven, what does it say about Satan himself, Universal Reconciliation and other related themes? We are treading some very deep doctrinal waters with these thoughts.

On the other hand, is it possible that part of the punishment of Judas Iscariot is that while his name will remain on one of the Twelve Foundations, he personally will not be there to enjoy it? Rather, he will suffer utter shame and contempt for
all of Eternity, as is revealed in this verse that's found in the Book of Daniel:

"And many of them that sleep in the dust of the earth shall awake, some to everlasting life, and some to shame and everlasting contempt."
Daniel 12:2, KJV

Again, these are tough questions for which I personally do not have any solid answers at this current time. Anything that I say, or that anyone else says concerning the fate of Judas Iscariot, remains in the realm of personal theory and speculation at best. Perhaps one of these days, when we each go to be with the Lord, we will finally receive a definitive answer to this intriguing question.

Or maybe I just did receive the answer that I've been seeking to this question! It is just after 1:00 AM here where I live. I just woke up after sleeping for about five hours. I was in my bed and on my iPhone, responding to a friend regarding this very article, when a verse just popped into my head, as often happens to me. This verse just really knocked me for a loop, because it seems so like the Lord's answer. I think you will understand why this verse floored when you read it:

"To deliver such an one unto Satan for the destruction of the flesh, that the spirit may be saved in the day of the Lord Jesus."
1 Corinthians 5:5, KJV

That is exactly what happened to Judas! As we saw earlier in this article, Satan entered into Judas, and then used him to betray Jesus into the hands of sinners. Later, feeling great remorse for what he had done, and realizing the grave error he had committed, Judas repented, tried to return the thirty pieces of silver, and went and hanged himself. He literally destroyed his own flesh. Why? No doubt because he just could not stand himself. He just couldn't bear to live with that huge guilt on his shoulders. But please notice that those verses say that he repented. He changed his mind, and he tried to undo the damage which he had done.

As I point out in the series "2012: New Age Deception and Psychobabble", it is rather interesting to note that in the first instance where the word "perdition" is used in the New
Testament, it is used by Jesus in a phrase to describe Judas Iscariot, as we see here:

"While I was with them in the world, I kept them in thy name: those that thou gavest me I have kept, and none of them is lost, but the son of perdition; that the scripture might be fulfilled."
John 17:12, KJV

Exactly why the Lord referred to Judas Iscariot as the "son of perdition" is a matter of personal speculation. It may be because Judas indirectly tried to destroy Jesus through his betrayal. However, there is another possibility which, in my view, seems to make a lot more sense. This becomes much more apparent when we consider the definitions of two words which are used in the above verse. Based on the meanings of those two words -- which I will share with you in just a minute -- I believe that Jesus was emphasizing the fact that Judas was not only lost, but he was also doomed to destruction because of his betrayal of the Lord. This would explain why Jesus said the following concerning Judas in two of the Gospels:

"The Son of man goeth as it is written of him: but woe unto that man by whom the Son of man is betrayed! it had been good for that man if he had not been born."
Matthew 26:24, KJV

"And truly the Son of man goeth, as it was determined: but woe unto that man by whom he is betrayed!"
Luke 22:22, KJV

So let me unveil the mystery here for you. You see, where the Lord states "none of them is lost", the word "lost" is derived from the Greek word "apollumi", which Thayer's Greek English Lexicon defines as meaning to perish, destroy, kill, ruin or be lost. Furthermore, in that mysterious phrase "son of perdition", the word "perdition is derived from the Koine Greek word "apoleia". This word is presumed to be derived from "apollumi", and so it likewise means destroying, utter destruction, perishing or ruin. As we have already seen, that is exactly what happened to Judas Iscariot. He took his own life. He destroyed himself. He destroyed his flesh. And that brings us right back to what the Apostle Paul states in the previous verse. Let me share that verse with you again for the sake of emphasis:
"To deliver such an one unto Satan for the destruction of the flesh, that the spirit may be saved in the day of the Lord Jesus."
1 Corinthians 5:5, KJV

Why is this destruction of the flesh necessary? so "that the spirit may be saved in the day of the Lord Jesus." With this one verse, we have in fact reconciled all of the previous verses which I have shared with you in this article. We have demonstrated how, despite what Judas did in betraying Jesus, he can still be saved; he can still sit on a throne in Heaven judging the Twelve Tribes of Israel; and one of the twelve foundations of the Heavenly City can still bear his name. If that isn't a demonstration of God's everlasting Mercy, then I don't know what is. To reiterate, this is really a personal theory on my part. However, based on what the Apostle Paul wrote in the previous verse, I think it makes a lot of sense. What do you think?

With these thoughts, I will bring this article to a close. It is my hope that you have found it informative and enlightening, and I pray that it has been a blessing in your life as well. If you have an account with Facebook, Twitter or Google+, I would really appreciate if you would take the time to click on the corresponding link that is found on this page. Thanks so much, and may God bless you abundantly!

For additional information, you may want to refer to the list of reading resources below which were also mentioned in this article, or which are related to this article, and which are likewise located on the Bill's Bible Basics web server.

- Are You Clean Every Whit?
- Demonic Possession and Sickness: A Biblical Perspective
- Exposing the Tactics of the Enemy
- Have You Been Slain in the Spirit?
- 2012: New Age Deception and Psychobabble
- The Battle is Not Yours Alone
- When Was Satan Cast Out of Heaven?
- Who Hindered the Antichrist?

This file was written by the WordWeaver
wordweaver777@gmail.com
https://www.billkochman.com

End Of File